

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

	<p>brownfield sites and only 71,687 are factually needed.. We must use this in our responses to the so called PfE.</p> <p>End of summary of SSM work.</p> <p>The so-called PfE 9 Cocunil Plan plan was just a rehash of the GMSF 10 Council plan for the continued benefit of developers.</p>
<p>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</p>	<p>Scrap the so-called PfE plan and replace it with a Plan that complies with the SSM document referred to above.</p> <p>Further to that, Scrap the so-called PfE plan and replace it with a Plan that complies with the objections sent in by (Inter alia) "Save Tameside Greenbelt", "Friends of Bury Folk" and "Friends of Carrington Moss"</p> <p>In addition to that, increase the Green Belt in Manchester as requested by residents. In the PfE plan it fails to mention that all the proposed Green Belt was ALREADY Greenbelt in the first place. Mancehter has less than the average percentage land area of green belt than the other 8 councils so it need to be brought up to the average.</p>
<p>Family Name</p>	<p>Gibson</p>
<p>Given Name</p>	<p>Alan</p>
<p>Person ID</p>	<p>1286729</p>
<p>Title</p>	<p>Our Strategic Objectives</p>
<p>Type</p>	<p>Web</p>
<p>Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Meet our housing need 2. Create neighbourhoods of choice 3. Ensure a thriving and productive economy in the districts involved 4. Maximise the potential arising from our national and international assets 5. Reduce inequalities and improve prosperity 6. Promote the sustainable movement of people, goods and information 7. Ensure that districts involved are more resilient and carbon neutral 8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces 9. Ensure access to physical and social infrastructure 10. Promote the health and wellbeing of communities
<p>Soundness - Positively prepared?</p>	<p>NA</p>
<p>Soundness - Justified?</p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</p>	<p>NA</p>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>I agree with the objections given by SSM Manchester.</p> <p>https://www.manchester.gov.uk/consultations/2019/06/2019-06-20-places-for-everyone-objections-ssm-manchester/</p> <p>Scrap the so-called PfE plan and replace it with a Plan that complies with the SSM document referred to above.</p> <p>Further to that, Scrap the so-called PfE plan and replace it with a Plan that complies with the objections sent in by (Inter alia) "Save Tameside Greenbelt", "Friends of Bury Folk" and "Friends of Carrington Moss"</p> <p>In addition to that, increase the Green Belt in Manchester as requested by residents. In the PfE plan it fails to mention that all the proposed Green Belt was ALREADY Greenbelt in the first place. Mancehter has less than the average percentage land area of green belt than the other 8 councils so it need to be brought up to the average.</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	<p>Further to that, Scrap the so-called PfE plan and replace it with a Plan that complies with the objections sent in by (Inter alia) "Save Tameside Greenbelt", "Friends of Bury Folk" and "Friends of Carrington Moss"</p> <p>In addition to that, increase the Green Belt in Manchester as requested by residents. In the PfE plan it fails to mention that all the proposed Green Belt was ALREADY Greenbelt in the first place. Mancehter has less than the average percentage land area of green belt than the other 8 councils so it need to be brought up to the average.</p>
Family Name	Gibson
Given Name	Alan
Person ID	1286729
Title	Our Spatial Strategy
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	See my answers to the same paragraphs for Questions 18 (and 19) above.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	See my answers to the same paragraphs for Questions 18 (and 19) above.
Family Name	Gibson
Given Name	Alan
Person ID	1286729
Title	JP-Strat 1 Core Growth Area
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	It is based on a false assumption that people will want to continue to work in the City Centre. Up to 50% of people had to work from home for about 1 year during COVID 19 and 50% of them will want to stay there. So there will be 25% less people going into the city centre.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Scrap the claims needed for office space, employment space etc and replace them with the figures used in the SSM response as a minimum.
Family Name	Gibson
Given Name	Alan
Person ID	1286729
Title	JP-Strat 2 City Centre

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	It is based on a false assumption that people will want to continue to work in the City Centre. Up to 50% of people had to work from home for about 1 year during COVID 19 and 50% of them will want to stay there. So there will be 25% less people going into the city centre. Manchester City Centre has probably the lowest green space percentage of Council in the GMCA 9 council area. So people will not want to work there.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Scrap the claims needed for office space, employment space etc and replace them with the figures used in the SSM response as a minimum. Increase the green space in the centre of Manchester.
Family Name	Gibson
Given Name	Alan
Person ID	1286729
Title	JP-Strat 5 Inner Areas
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally	There is NO NEED for more houses in the Inner city areas which are already densely populated and which lack green space. What those houses need is to have better insulation and more green space.

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	There is NO NEED for more houses in the Inner city areas which are already densely populated and which lack green space. What those houses need is to have better insulatoin and more green space. (also mentioned in SSM response)
Family Name	Gibson
Given Name	Alan
Person ID	1286729
Title	Other Comments
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Gibson
Given Name	Alan
Person ID	1286729
Title	JP-Strat 7 North East Growth Corridor
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	I support the objections put in by "Friends of Bury Folk" and "Save Tameside Greenbelt" and "Save Rochdale Greenbelt"
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Comply with the objections put in by "Friends of Bury Folk" and "Save Tameside Greenbelt" and "Save Rochdale Greenbelt" . Also comply with the objections put in by SSM in the document referred to in Question 18.
Family Name	Gibson
Given Name	Alan
Person ID	1286729
Title	JP-Strat 8 Wigan Bolton Growth Corridor
Type	Web
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Bolton / Wigan Railway is now being electrified at a cost of £78 Million. It should therefore have another station for residents put on the line.
Family Name	Gibson
Given Name	Alan
Person ID	1286729
Title	JP-Strat 9 Southern Areas
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally	As mentioned before, there is no increase in the Greenbelt in Manchester, the lowest figure for green belt percentage land area in the GMCA 9 Councils. In CAMBRIDGE, they have

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	built an entire new scientific village next to Cambridge (North) Science park which is an expansion of the existing village of Bar Hill which is in the countryside.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Comply with the objections put in by SSM in the document referred to in Question 18. If the GMCA 9 want a scientific centre, then put it where there is greenbelt and create some greenbelt elsewhere. In CAMBRIDGE, they have built an entire new scientific village next to Cambridge (North) Science park which is an expansion of the existing village of Bar Hill which is in the countryside.
Family Name	Gibson
Given Name	Alan
Person ID	1286729
Title	JP-Strat 10 Manchester Airport
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	The Airport can NOT meet carbon emisisions required to reduce them by 7% each year unti1 2030 as a minimum to hold global warming to 1.5 degree centigrade. There is no need for it to expand now becasue a lot of business can be carried out on "Zoom" video links which could not be done before COVID 19.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	The Airport MUST first comply with the reduction in carbon emissions required, namely to reduce them by 7% each year until 2030 as a minimum to hold global warming to 1.5 degree centigrade. When they can do that, they can come back in to the plan but not before.
Family Name	Gibson
Given Name	Alan
Person ID	1286729
Title	JP-Strat 11 New Carrington
Type	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Family Name	Gibson
Given Name	Alan
Person ID	1286729
Title	JP-Strat 12 Main Town Centres
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	What happens in individual (9) town centres is really a matter for the residents of that town. There will be changes because of the growth of online sales, however it should be noted that they do NOT pay their share of Business Rate / Cocunil Tax.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	The residents of that town centre must decide what is to happen to it. Online sales should be held where they are until they are taxed at the same rate as existing Town centre businesses.
Family Name	Gibson
Given Name	Alan
Person ID	1286729
Title	JP-Strat 13 Strategic Green Infrastructure

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	While strategic Green spaces are needed, as referred to several times before, there are NOT enough of them and there need to be more so that 50 percent (50%) of the GMCA 9 Council is green belt that is useable by the public. . See my response to the same paragraph of Question 18.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Comply with the SSM response referred to in my same paragraph of Question 18
Family Name	Gibson
Given Name	Alan
Person ID	1286729
Title	JP-Strat 14 A Sustainable and Integrated Transport Network
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	HS 2 North is obsolete, outdated and a waste of £25 Billion or about £2,000 per head of population in the North. (The entire HS2 project has been costed at £107 Billion about £2,000 per adult voter). There is NO NEED for it with the advent of "Zoom " type conference video links.

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Scrap HS2 North and replace it with Electrification of Felixstowe to Nuneaton on the WCML, also called the F2N project. That will only cost about £5 Billion which is only 20% of the cost of HS2 North at (at least) £25 Billion. That allows F2N to be used for freight directly and allows more passenger traffic on the WCML. (West Coast Main Line).
Family Name	Gibson
Given Name	Alan
Person ID	1286729
Title	Other Comments
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Gibson
Given Name	Alan
Person ID	1286729
Title	JP-G 10 Green Belt
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No